IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

THURSDAY, THE 5TH JULY 2007 / 14TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 20716 of 2007(N)

PETITIONER:

BINDU THOMAS O., D/O. O.J.THOMAS,
AGED 33, OLEKKENGAL HOUSE, PALAYOOR,
CHAVAKKAD POST, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.K.E.HAMZA
SRI.T.V.VIJAYARAJAN
SRI.M.SAJJAD

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
JAGATHI, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. DY. DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, THRISSUR.

4. DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, IRINJALAKKUDA.

5. MANAGER,
HIGH SCHOOL KARALAM, KARALAM P.O., THRISSUR.

6. SMT.SUBHA M.S., UPSA, HIGH SCHOOL KARALAM,
KARALAM P.O., THRISSUR.

7. SMT. SAJANA K.A., UPSA, HIGH SCHOOL KARALAM,
KARALAM P.O., THRISSUR.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 05/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C)No.20716 OF 2007

Dated this the 5th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

It is contended by the petitioner that she was appointed as High School Assistant (English) in the school under the management of respondent no.5 with effect from June 12, 2003. The school has got Upper Primary Section, High School Section as well as Vocational Higher Secondary Section. It is the case of the petitioner that in 2005-2006 the post of HSA (English) was abolished and she was accommodated against the post of HSA (Social Studies). She claims that she is still continuing in that post but without salary. It is her further grievance that her juniors are being paid salary in the category of Primary School Assistants while she is not. Though the issue was taken up before the Educational Authorities, the grievance of the petitioner still remains alive. Therefore, she has preferred Ext.P5 revision petition before respondent no.1. The limited prayer is to issue an appropriate direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on Ext.P5 without any delay.

2. Learned Government Pleader submits that if Ext.P5 has been preferred as contended by the petitioner, an appropriate decision thereon will be taken expeditiously.

3. In the above facts and circumstances, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P5 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention respondent no.1 shall ensure that petitioner, the manager and respondents 6 and 7 and all others who are likely to be affected by any order that may be passed, are afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter. Petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with a certified copy of the judgment before respondent no.1 for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jes